### READING BOROUGH COUNCIL

### REPORT BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH & NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES

то:	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SUB-COMMITTEE				
DATE:	14 SEPTEMBER 2022	AGENDA ITEM:			
TITLE:	PETITION - RESIDENT PARKING PERMIT ELIGIBILITY FOR KENDRICK COURT				
LEAD COUNCILLOR:	TONY PAGE	PORTFOLIO:	CLIMATE STRATEGY AND TRANSPORT		
SERVICE:	HIGHWAYS & TRAFFIC SERVICES	WARDS:	KATESGROVE, REDLANDS		
LEAD OFFICER:	JAMES PENMAN	TEL:	0118 937 2202		
JOB TITLE:	NETWORK SERVICES MANAGER	E-MAIL:	NETWORK.MANAGEMENT @READING.GOV.UK		

### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 To report to the Sub-Committee the receipt of a petition requesting that residents of Kendrick Court, Kendrick Road, become eligible for Resident Parking Permits (RPP) to enable on-street parking along Kendrick Road (parking Zone 10R).

The petition contains 38 indications of support.

1.2 The report sets out the rationale for originally excluding Kendrick Court from the full permit eligibility within the zone, sets out how a change to the eligibility could be made and recommends against making this change.

### 2. RECOMMENDED ACTION

- 2.1 That the Sub-Committee notes the content of this report.
- 2.2 That the current address eligibility for the full allocation of resident parking permits remains unchanged.
- 2.3 That the lead petitioner be informed of the decisions of the Sub-Committee, following publication of the agreed minutes of the meeting.
- 2.4 That no public inquiry be held into the proposals.

### 3. POLICY CONTEXT

- 3.1 The provision of waiting/parking restrictions and associated criteria is specified within the existing Traffic Management Policies and Standards.
- 3.2 The Council's Resident Permit Parking scheme rules are available on the website <u>www.reading.gov.uk</u> > Vehicles, Roads and transport > Parking permits > Permit management rules and definitions.
- 3.3 At Traffic Management Sub-Committee in March 2021, as part of the 'East Reading Resident Permit Parking Scheme - Update' report, Members agreed to a recommendation that any granted discretionary resident parking permit will renew automatically upon application. This follows the same process as 'standard' resident parking permits and is subject to terms and conditions, including that the agreed permit is personal to the applicant.

## 4. THE PROPOSAL

### Current Position

4.1 On 14<sup>th</sup> August 2022, a petition was submitted to the Council containing 38 indications of support. The petition stated the following:

Petition for the Right to Kerbside Parking Permits in Kendrick Road

We, the undersigned, request that Reading Borough Council allow residents of Kendrick Court, Kendrick Road, RG1 5DS, the right to Parking Permits to park in kerb-side parking spaces on Kendrick Road.

The other blocks of flats in Kendrick Road all have plenty of garages and parking. Kendrick Court pre-dates these other blocks by decades, having been developed when private car ownership was the exception. It has 42 bedrooms but was designed to accommodate just 11 small cars.

Currently only people who live in houses on Kendrick Road can apply for permits. This seems discriminatory and irrational as these households often have

driveways and don't need additional parking, whereas residents of Kendrick Court have so few spaces. There is currently plenty of kerbside parking space available in Kendrick Road.

- 4.2 Resident Permit Parking (RPP) schemes do not guarantee nor allocate individual parking spaces for a specific property or street, they are typically delivered as area schemes. This approach enables flexibility of parking across the specified parking zone area for those with a valid permit. The Resident Permit Parking (RPP) area for Kendrick Road is for Zone 10R. It is a large scheme area spanning from the town centre ring road as far south as Rose Kiln Lane with a current permit 'saturation' level of 88%.
- 4.3 It is typical that properties containing flats/multiple addresses, particularly those with a level of off-street parking availability, will not be eligible for the full entitlement of parking permits (up to 2 permits per address and an initial visitor permit allocation, upon application) in the Traffic Regulation Order of a new permit parking scheme in Reading. This approach is taken to minimise the

risks of oversaturating on-street parking levels in a new permit parking scheme and was the approach adopted in the development of the scheme that includes Kendrick Road.

The legal Traffic Regulation Order, which legitimises the on-street restrictions, captures those properties that are eligible for the entitlement of permits within the scheme area. Residents of properties that are excluded from the scheme are entitled to apply for discretionary parking permits under the scheme rules, so still have an opportunity to receive a parking permit and to legitimately park on street.

4.4 In March 2021, as part of a Traffic Management Sub-Committee report on the recently introduced Zone 14R East Reading Resident Permit Parking scheme, officers made recommendations regarding a petition for the inclusion of Oaklands in the scheme.

At the time, discretionary parking permits expired annually and required reapplication. It was acknowledged that this process created resident concern about the longer-term certainty of having on-street parking available.

To provide this certainty and clarity to those residents who were successful in their application for a personal discretionary parking permit, the Sub-Committee agreed to the officer recommendation that these should automatically renew upon application, as per 'standard' parking permits. This is on the basis that, should the resident move, the new resident will have to restart the process.

### Options Proposed

4.5 It is recommended that Kenrick Court is not included for RPP eligibility, meaning that the eligibility for the full permit entitlement in the Traffic Regulation Order would not be changed.

It would not be reasonable to consider Kendrick Court in isolation of other properties that are in the same position. To include all such properties in the scheme risks opening the scheme up to a flood of permit applications, particularly the excellent-value first permit, and a significant increase in onstreet parking that would have specific demand concentrations within the parking zone.

Residents of Kendrick Court continue to have the option of applying for discretionary parking permits. As per the discretionary permit process alteration referred in Item 4.4, there is now greater assurance of having a parking permit upon successful application, while also enabling a level of monitoring and management over the parking zone saturation levels, which is a standard consideration of new discretionary parking permit applications.

#### Other Options Considered

4.6 It could be agreed that Kendrick Court should be included for eligibility to the full resident permit parking entitlement as part of Zone 10R. This would require an alteration to the underlaying Traffic Regulation Order and, as such, would require statutory consultation and the required advertising of the proposed alterations in the local printed newspaper.

As there is an established programme for addressing alterations to parking-based Traffic Regulation Orders - the Waiting Restriction Review Programme - such a proposal, if agreed, should be included in this. This would reduce the cost, both literal and with staffing resource, in relation to pursuing this change as a separate workstream.

It is not recommended that this option is agreed, as it would introduce disparity between Kendrick Court and other similar developments across the borough. This would likely lead to similar requests being made by these developments and risks of significant increases in on-street parking saturation within these respective parking zones.

### 5. CONTRIBUTION TO STRATEGIC AIMS

5.1 The proposal contained in this report proposes no change to the existing Resident Permit Parking (RPP) eligibility and, therefore, no further contribution to the strategic aims of the Council. The report highlights other processes whereby applicants in properties that are not eligible for full entitlement of RPP may apply for discretionary parking permits.

## 6. ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 The Council declared a Climate Emergency at its meeting on 26 February 2019 (Minute 48 refers).
- 6.2 The proposal contained in this report proposes no change, so a Climate Impact Assessment has not been considered necessary.
- 6.3 Should the Sub-Committee not agree to the officer recommendation and instead agree to the option described in Item 4.6, there is expected to be a Net Minor-Negative impact. The change will require the addition of the proposal as part of a statutory consultation process, necessitating inclusion in the public notices that printed in the local newspaper and erected on-street there will be material usage and travel to the street on multiple occasions.

### 7. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND INFORMATION

- 7.1 The lead petitioner will be informed of the decision of the Sub-Committee regarding the request that they have made, following publication of the meeting minutes.
- 7.2 Meeting reports and minutes are published on the Council's website and Traffic Management Sub-Committee is a public meeting that can be attended. Recordings of the meetings are also available via the Council's website (www.reading.gov.uk).

### 8. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 8.1 Under the Equality Act 2010, Section 149, a public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to-
  - eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;

- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
- 8.2 It is not considered that an Equality Impact Assessment is relevant as the proposal is not deemed to be discriminatory to persons with protected characteristics. Statutory consultation processes have previously been conducted, providing an opportunity for objections/support/concerns to be considered prior to a decision being made on whether to implement the proposals.

# 9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 There are no foreseen legal implications relating to the recommendation of this report.
- 9.2 Should the Sub-Committee not agree to the officer recommendation and instead agree to the option described in Item 4.6, the alteration to the Traffic Regulation Order(s) will require advertisement and consultation, under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and in accordance with the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996. The resultant Traffic Regulation Order would need to be sealed in accordance with the same regulations.

### 10. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report are set out below:-

	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000
Employee costs Other running costs Capital financings costs	NIL	NIL	NIL
Expenditure	NIL	NIL	NIL
Income from: Fees and charges Grant funding Other income	NIL	NIL	NIL
Total Income	NIL	NIL	NIL
Net Cost(+)/saving (-)	NIL	NIL	NIL

10.1. Revenue Implications

# 10.2. Capital Implications

Capital Programme reference from budget book:	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000
	NIL	NIL	NIL
Proposed Capital Expenditure			
	NIL	NIL	NIL
Funded by			
N/A			
	NIL	NIL	NIL
Total Funding			

## 3. Value for Money (VFM)

The recommendation of this report is not to implement a change, while highlighting the current alternative facility that is available to those residents wishing to apply for a resident permit parking. On this basis, the recommendation of Item 4.5 does provide the best value for money.

### 4. Risk Assessment.

There are no foreseeable financial risks associated with the recommendation of this report.

### 11. BACKGROUND PAPERS

11.1 East Reading Resident Permit Parking Scheme - Update (Traffic Management Sub-Committee, March 2021)